# Three-tangle for rank-three mixed states: Mixture of Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger, $W$, and flipped- $W$ states 

Eylee Jung, Mi-Ra Hwang, and DaeKil Park<br>Department of Physics, Kyungnam University, Masan, 631-701, Korea<br>Jin-Woo Son<br>Department of Mathematics, Kyungnam University, Masan, 631-701, Korea

(Received 29 October 2008; published 11 February 2009)


#### Abstract

Three-tangle for a rank-3 mixture composed of Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger, $W$, and flipped- $W$ states is analytically calculated. The optimal decompositions in the full range of parameter space are constructed by making use of the convex-roof extension. We also provide an analytical technique, which determines whether or not an arbitrary rank-3 state has vanishing three-tangle. This technique is developed by making use of the Bloch sphere $S^{8}$ of the qutrit system. The Coffman-Kundu-Wootters inequality is discussed by computing one-tangle and concurrences. It is shown that the one-tangle is always larger than the sum of squared concurrences and three-tangle. The physical implication of three-tangle is briefly discussed.
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Entanglement is a genuine physical resource for the quantum information theories [1]. It is at the heart of the recent much activities on the research of quantum computer.

Entanglement for bipartite mixed states, called concurrence, was studied by Hill and Wootters in Ref. [2] when the density matrix of the state has two or more zero eigenvalues. Subsequently, Wootters extended the result of Ref. [2] to arbitrary bipartite mixed states [3] by making use of the time-reversal operator of the spin-1/2 particle appropriately. In addition, concurrence was used to derive purely tripartite entanglement called residual entanglement or three-tangle [4]. For the mixed three-qubit state $\rho$ the three-tangle is defined by making use of the convex roof construction $[5,6]$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{3}(\rho)=\min \sum_{i} p_{i} \tau_{3}\left(\rho_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the minimum is taken over all possible ensembles of pure states. The ensemble corresponding to the minimum of $\tau_{3}$ is called optimal decomposition.

Recently, Ref. [7] has shown how to construct the optimal decomposition for the rank-2 mixture of Greenberger-HorneZeilinger (GHZ) and $W$ states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(p)=p|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle\langle\mathrm{GHZ}|+(1-p)|W\rangle\langle W|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle+|111\rangle),  \tag{3}\\
|W\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|001\rangle+|010\rangle+|100\rangle) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The optimal decomposition for $\rho(p)$ was constructed with use of the fact that $\tau_{3}(|G H Z\rangle)=1, \quad \tau_{3}(|W\rangle)=0, \quad$ and
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$\langle\mathrm{GHZ} \mid W\rangle=0$. Once the optimal decompositions are constructed, it is easy to compute the three-tangle. For $\rho(p)$ the three-tangle has three different expressions depending on the range of $p$ as follows:

$$
\tau_{3}(\rho(p))= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } 0 \leqslant p \leqslant p_{0}  \tag{4}\\ g_{I}(p) & \text { for } p_{0} \leqslant p \leqslant p_{1} \\ g_{I I}(p) & \text { for } p_{1} \leqslant p \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{I}(p)=p^{2}-\frac{8 \sqrt{6}}{9} \sqrt{p(1-p)^{3}}, \\
g_{I I}(p)=1-(1-p)\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{18} \sqrt{465}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$p_{0}=\frac{4 \sqrt[3]{2}}{3+4 \sqrt[3]{2}} \sim 0.6269, \quad p_{1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{310} \sqrt{465} \sim 0.7087$.
More recently, this result was extended to the rank-2 mixture of generalized GHZ and generalized $W$ states in Ref. [8].

The purpose of this Brief Report is to extend Ref. [7] to the case of rank-3 mixed states. In this Brief Report we would like to analyze the optimal decompositions for the mixture of GHZ, $W$, and flipped- $W$ states as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(p, q)=p|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle\langle\mathrm{GHZ}|+q|W\rangle\langle W|+(1-p-q)|\tilde{W}\rangle\langle\tilde{W}|, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{W}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|110\rangle+|101\rangle+|011\rangle) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we will define $q$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{1-p}{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer. Before we go further, it is worthwhile noting that $\rho(p, q)=\rho(p)$ when $n=1$ and, there-
fore, Eq. (4) is the three-tangle in this case. When $n=\infty$, $\rho(p, q)$ can be constructed from $\rho(p)$ by local-unitary (LU) transformation $\sigma_{x} \otimes \sigma_{x} \otimes \sigma_{x}$. Since the three-tangle is a LUinvariant quantity, the three-tangle of $\rho(p, q)$ with $n=\infty$ is again Eq. (4).

Now we start with three-qubit pure state
$\left|Z\left(p, q, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\sqrt{p}|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle-e^{i \varphi_{1}} \sqrt{q}|W\rangle-e^{i \varphi_{2}} \sqrt{1-p-q}|\widetilde{W}\rangle$,
whose three-tangle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{3}\left(p, q, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)=\left|p^{2}-4 p \sqrt{q(1-p-q)} e^{i\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)}-\frac{4}{3} q(1-p-q) e^{2 i\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)}-\frac{8 \sqrt{6}}{9} \sqrt{p q^{3} e^{3 i \varphi_{1}}}-\frac{8 \sqrt{6}}{9} \sqrt{p(1-p-q)^{3}} e^{3 i \varphi_{2}}\right| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state $\left|Z\left(p, q, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ has several interesting properties. First, the mixed state $\rho(p, q)$ in Eq. (8) can be expressed in terms of $\left|Z\left(p, q, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(p, q)=\frac{1}{3}\left[|Z(p, q, 0,0)\rangle\langle Z(p, q, 0,0)|+\left|Z\left(p, q, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p, q, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right|+\left|Z\left(p, q, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p, q, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right|\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, $|Z(p, q, 0,0)\rangle,\left|Z\left(p, q, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle$, and $\left|Z\left(p, q, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle$ have the same three-tangle as shown from Eq. (10) directly. Third, the numerical calculation shows that the $p$ dependence of $\tau_{3}\left(p,(1-p) / n, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ has many zeros depending on $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, but the largest zero $p=p_{0}$ arises when $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=0$ regardless of $n$. It can be proven rigorously with use of the implicit function theorem. The $n$ dependence of $p_{0}$ is given in Table I. Table I indicates that when $n$ increases from $n=2$, $p_{0}$ approaches $4 \sqrt[3]{2} /(3+4 \sqrt[3]{2}) \sim 0.6269$ from $3 / 4=0.75$. This is because of the fact that the three-tangle for $\rho(p, q)$ should be Eq. (4) in the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

When $p \leqslant p_{0}$, one can construct the optimal decomposition by making use of Eq. (11) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(p, \frac{1-p}{n}\right)= & \frac{p}{3 p_{0}}\left[\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, 0,0\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, 0,0\right)\right|+\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right|\right]+\frac{p_{0}-p}{n p_{0}}|W\rangle\langle W|+\frac{(n-1)\left(p_{0}-p\right)}{n p_{0}}|\tilde{W}\rangle\langle\tilde{W}| \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have vanishing three-tangle in this region:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{3}\left[\rho\left(p, \frac{1-p}{n}\right)\right]=0 \quad \text { for } p \leqslant p_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider the $p_{0} \leqslant p \leqslant 1$ region. When $p=p_{0}$, Eq. (12) implies that the optimal decomposition consists of three pure states $\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, 0,0\right)\right\rangle,\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle$, and $\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle$ with the same probability. This fact together with Eq. (11) strongly suggests that the optimal decomposition at $p_{0} \leqslant p$ is described by Eq. (11). As will be shown below, however, this is not true in the full range of $p_{0} \leqslant p \leqslant 1$.

The optimal decomposition (11) gives the three-tangle to $\rho(p, q)$ in a form

TABLE I. The $n$ dependence of $p_{0}, p_{1}$, and $p_{*}$.

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 100 | 1000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{0}$ | 0.6269 | 0.75 | 0.7452 | 0.712 | 0.6604 | 0.6382 |
| $p_{1}$ | 0.7087 | 0.9330 | 0.9250 | 0.8667 | 0.7710 | 0.7298 |
| $p_{*}$ | 0.8257 | 0.9618 | 0.9572 | 0.9230 | 0.8650 | 0.8391 |

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{I}(p)= & p^{2}-\frac{4 \sqrt{n-1}}{n} p(1-p)-\frac{4(n-1)}{3 n^{2}}(1-p)^{2} \\
& -\frac{8 \sqrt{6 n}\left[1+(n-1)^{3 / 2}\right]}{9 n^{2}} \sqrt{p(1-p)^{3}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the three-tangle for the mixed state is defined as a convex roof, $\alpha_{I}(p)$ should be a convex function if it is a correct three-tangle in the range of $p_{0} \leqslant p \leqslant 1$. In order to check this we compute $d^{2} \alpha_{I} / d p^{2}$, which is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} \alpha_{I}(p)}{d p^{2}}= & \frac{2}{9 n^{2}}\left[\left\{9 n^{2}+36 n \sqrt{n-1}-12(n-1)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-\sqrt{6 n}\left\{1+(n-1)^{3 / 2}\right\} \frac{8 p^{2}-4 p-1}{\sqrt{p^{3}(1-p)}}\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Eq. (15), one can show that $d^{2} \alpha_{I}(p) / d p^{2} \leqslant 0$ when $p_{*} \leqslant p \leqslant 1$. The $n$ dependence of $p_{*}$ is given in Table I. Thus, we need to make $\alpha_{I}(p)$ convex in the region $p_{1} \leqslant p \leqslant 1$, where $p_{1} \leqslant p_{*}$. The constant $p_{1}$ will be determined shortly.

For the large- $p$ region one can construct the optimal decomposition as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(p, q)= & \frac{p-p_{1}}{1-p_{1}}|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle\langle\mathrm{GHZ}|+\frac{1-p}{3\left(1-p_{1}\right)}\left[\left|Z\left(p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{1}}{n}, 0,0\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{1}}{n}, 0,0\right)\right|+\left|Z\left(p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{1}}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle Z\left(p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{1}}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right|+\left|Z\left(p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{1}}{n}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Z\left(p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{1}}{n}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right|\right] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the three-tangle in a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{I I}(p)=\frac{p-p_{1}}{1-p_{1}}+\frac{1-p}{1-p_{1}} \alpha_{I}\left(p_{1}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $d^{2} \alpha_{I I}(p) / d p^{2}=0$. Thus, $\alpha_{I I}(p)$ does not violate the convex constraint of the three-tangle in the large- $p$ region. The parameter $p_{1}$ is determined by minimizing $\alpha_{I I}(p)$-i.e., $\partial \alpha_{I I} / \partial p_{1}=0$-which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \sqrt{6 n}\left[1+(n-1)^{3 / 2}\right]}{9 n^{2}} \frac{2 p_{1}-1}{\sqrt{p_{1}\left(1-p_{1}\right)}}=1+\frac{4 \sqrt{n-1}}{n}-\frac{4(n-1)}{3 n^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $n$ dependence of $p_{1}$ is given in Table I. As expected, $p_{1}$ is between $p_{0}$ and $p_{*}$. When $n$ increases from $n=2, p_{1}$ decreases from $(2+\sqrt{3}) / 4 \sim 0.933$ to $1 / 2+3 \sqrt{465} / 310 \sim 0.709$.

In summary, the three-tangle for $\rho(p, q)$ is

$$
\tau_{3}(\rho(p, q))= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } 0 \leqslant p \leqslant p_{0}  \tag{19}\\ \alpha_{I}(p) & \text { for } p_{0} \leqslant p \leqslant p_{1} \\ \alpha_{I I}(p) & \text { for } p_{1} \leqslant p \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

and the corresponding optimal decompositions are (12), (11), and (16), respectively. In order to show that Eq. (19) is genuinely optimal, we plot the $p$ dependence of the three-tangles (10) for various $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ when $n=2$ [Fig. 1(a)], $n=3$ [Fig. 1(b)], and $n=10$ [Fig. 1(c)]. These curves have been referred


FIG. 1. (Color online) Plot of the $p$ dependence of Eq. (10) for various $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$. We have chosen $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ from 0 to $2 \pi$ as an interval 0.3 . The three figures correspond to $n=2$ (a), $n=3$ (b), and $n=10$ (c), respectively. The minimum curve is plotted as a thick solid line in each figure. These figures indicate that the three-tangle in Eq. (19) (plotted as a dashed line in each figure) is a convex hull of the thick solid line.
as the characteristic curves [9]. As Ref. [9] indicated, the three-tangle is a convex hull of the minimum of the characteristic curves (thick solid lines in the figure). Figure 1 indicates that the three-tangles (19) plotted as dashed lines are the convex characteristic curves, which implies that Eq. (19) is really optimal.

The above analysis can be applied to provide an analytical technique which decides whether or not an arbitrary rank-3 state has vanishing three-tangle. First we correspond our states to the qutrit states with

$$
|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{20}\\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad|W\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad|\tilde{W}\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is well known [10] that the density matrix of the arbitrary qutrit state can be represented by $\rho=(1 / 3)(I+\sqrt{3} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\lambda})$, where $\vec{n}$ is an eight-dimensional unit vector and $\lambda_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 8)$ are Gell-Mann matrices. Thus the points on the $S^{8}$ correspond to pure qutrit states, while the interior points denote mixed states. ${ }^{1}$ Then, one can show straightforwardly that the pure states with vanishing three-tangle correspond to

$$
\begin{gathered}
|W\rangle \rightarrow\left(0,0,-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
|\tilde{W}\rangle \rightarrow(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, 0,0\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow\left(-\sqrt{3} \xi_{1}, 0, \eta_{1},-\sqrt{3} \xi_{2}, 0, \sqrt{3} \xi_{3}, 0, \eta_{2}\right), \\
&\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \xi_{1},-\frac{3}{2} \xi_{1}, \eta_{1}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \xi_{2}, \frac{3}{2} \xi_{2},\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \xi_{3}, \frac{3}{2} \xi_{3}, \eta_{2}\right), \\
&\left|Z\left(p_{0}, \frac{1-p_{0}}{n}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \xi_{1}, \frac{3}{2} \xi_{1}, \eta_{1}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \xi_{2},-\frac{3}{2} \xi_{2}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \xi_{3},-\frac{3}{2} \xi_{3}, \eta_{2}\right), \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}=\sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}, \quad \xi_{2}=\sqrt{n-1} \xi_{1}, \quad \xi_{3}=\sqrt{n-1}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n$, $\eta_{1}=(\sqrt{3} / 2)\left[1-(n+1)\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n\right], \quad$ and $\quad \eta_{2}=(1 / 2)[1-3$

[^0]$\left.(n-1)\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n\right]$. Thus these five points form a hyperpolyhedron in eight-dimensional space. Then all rank-3 quantum states corresponding to the points in this hyperpolyhedron have vanishing three-tangle.

Now we would like to consider the Coffman-KunduWootters (CKW) relation [4], which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \operatorname{det} \rho_{A}=\mathcal{C}_{A B}^{2}+\mathcal{C}_{A C}^{2}+\tau_{3}(\psi) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the three-qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle$. In Eq. (22), $\mathcal{C}_{A B}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{A C}$ are the concurrences for the corresponding reduced states. Equation (22) indicates that the entanglement of qubit $A$ originates from both bipartite and tripartite contributions. For the mixed state Ref. [4] has shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \min \left[\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{A}\right)\right] \geqslant \mathcal{C}_{A B}^{2}+\mathcal{C}_{A C}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the minimum of one-tangle is taken over all possible decompositions of $\rho$. In Ref. [7] the CKW inequality (23) has been examined for the mixture of GHZ and $W$ states. For this case it was shown that the one-tangle is always larger than the sum of squared concurrences and three-tangle.

Now, we would like to check the CKW inequality for $\rho(p, q)$ in Eq. (6) with $q=(1-p) / n$. In this case one can compute the minimum one-tangle directly, whose expression is

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \min \left[\operatorname{det} \rho_{A}\right]= & \frac{1}{9}\left\{\left(8-4 p-12 q+5 p^{2}+12 q^{2}+12 p q\right)\right. \\
& +4 \sqrt{p q(1-p-q)}[2 \sqrt{6 q}+2 \sqrt{6(1-p-q)} \\
& -3 \sqrt{p}]\} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Also it is straightforward to compute the sum of squared concurrences, which is
$\mathcal{C}_{A B}^{2}+\mathcal{C}_{A C}^{2}=2\left(\max \left[0, \frac{2}{3}(1-p)-\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{(3 p+2 q)(2+p-2 q)}\right]\right)^{2}$.
The one-tangle (upper solid lines), $\mathcal{C}_{A B}^{2}+\mathcal{C}_{A C}^{2}$ (left solid lines), and three-tangle (right solid lines) are plotted in Fig. 2 for $n=1, n=2$, and $n=10$. This figure indicates that all quantities approach their corresponding $n=1$ quantity when $n$ increases from $n=2$. This is consistent with the fact that $\rho(p, q)$ with $n=1$ is LU equivalent to $\rho(p, q)$ with $n=\infty$. The inequality


FIG. 2. (Color online) The $p$ dependence of one-tangle (upper solid lines), sum of squared concurrences (left solid lines), and three-tangle (right solid lines) for $n=1,2$, and 10. This figure clearly indicates that not only the CKW inequality (23), but also (26), holds for all integer $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \min \left[\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{A}\right)\right] \geqslant \mathcal{C}_{A B}^{2}+\mathcal{C}_{A C}^{2}+\tau_{3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n$. In the region $p_{C} \leqslant p \leqslant p_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{C}=\frac{\left(7 n^{2}-4 n+4\right)-3 n \sqrt{5 n^{2}-4 n+4}}{(n-2)^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

both $\mathcal{C}_{A B}^{2}+\mathcal{C}_{A C}^{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$ vanish while there is quite substantial one-tangle. Its interpretation is given in Ref. [7] from the mathematical point of view. However, its physical meaning is still unclear at least for us. In the region $p \geqslant p_{C}$ and $p$ $\leqslant p_{0}$ the entanglement of the qubit $A$ mainly stems from the bipartite and tripartite correlations, respectively.

From the point of view of physics it is also of interest to investigate the physical role of the three-tangle. As shown in Ref. [11] two-qubit mixed-state entanglement provides information on the fidelity in bipartite teleportation through noisy channels. Since the three-tangle is purely tripartite entanglement, it may give certain information in the scheme of quantum copy machines or three-party quantum teleportation [12]. It seems to be interesting to explore the physical role of three-tangle in particular real tasks.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unlike the qubit system, not all points in $S^{8}$ correspond to the qutrit states due to the condition of the star product [10].

